

Curvature Expansion for the Gluodynamics String including Perturbative Gluonic Contributions.

D.V.ANTONOV^{*†}

*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
B.Chermushkinskaya 25, 117 218, Moscow, Russia*

and

Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität, Berlin

and

D.EBERT[‡]

*Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität,
Invalidenstrasse 110, D-10115, Berlin, Germany*

Abstract

Perturbation theory in the nonperturbative QCD vacuum and the non-Abelian Stokes theorem, representing a Wilson loop in the $SU(2)$ gluodynamics as an integral over all the orientations in colour space, are applied to a derivation of the correction to the string effective action in the lowest order in the coupling constant g . This correction is due to the interaction of perturbative gluons with the string world sheet and affects only the coupling constant of the rigidity term, while its contribution to the string tension of the Nambu-Goto term vanishes. The obtained correction to the rigidity coupling constant multiplicatively depends on the colour “spin” of the representation of the Wilson loop under consideration and a certain path integral, which includes the background Wilson loop average.

1. Introduction

Recently, a new approach to the gluodynamics string was suggested¹. Within this approach, one considers the Wilson loop average written through the non-Abelian Stokes theorem^{2,3} and the cumulant expansion^{3,4} as a statistical weight in the partition function of some effective string theory. The action of this string theory may then be obtained via expansion of the averaged

^{*}E-mail addresses: antonov@vxitep.itep.ru, antonov@pha2.physik.hu-berlin.de

[†]Supported by Graduiertenkolleg *Elementarteilchenphysik*, Russian Fundamental Research Foundation, Grant No.96-02-19184, DFG-RFFI, Grant 436 RUS 113/309/0 and by the Intas, Grant No.94-2851.

[‡]E-mail address: debert@qft2.physik.hu-berlin.de

Wilson loop in powers of two small parameters, $\left(\alpha_s \langle F_{\mu\nu}^a(0) F_{\mu\nu}^a(0) \rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T_g^2$ and $\left(\frac{T_g}{r}\right)^2$, where T_g is the correlation length of the vacuum ($T_g \simeq 0,13$ fm in the $SU(2)$ case⁵, which will be studied below, and $T_g \simeq 0,22$ fm in the $SU(3)$ case⁶, studied in Ref. 1) and $r \simeq 1$ fm is the size of the Wilson loop in the confining regime⁷. This yields the so-called curvature expansion for the gluodynamics string effective action. In Ref. 1 only the first nonvanishing terms of this expansion, corresponding to the lowest (second) order in the first parameter were accounted for, which corresponds to the bilocal approximation^{3,8–12}, and then the expansion up to terms of the third order in the second parameter was elaborated out. The first two terms of this expansion read as follows

$$S_{biloc.} = \sigma \int d^2\xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \int d^2\xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ij} (\partial_i t_{\mu\nu}) (\partial_j t_{\mu\nu}), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\sigma = 4T_g^2 \int d^2z D(z^2) \quad (2)$$

is the string tension of the Nambu-Goto term, and

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_0} = \frac{1}{4} T_g^4 \int d^2z z^2 \left(2D_1(z^2) - D(z^2) \right) \quad (3)$$

is an inverse bare coupling constant of the rigidity term, while the terms of the third order in $\left(\frac{T_g}{r}\right)^2$ contain higher derivatives of the induced metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ and/or of the extrinsic curvature tensor $t_{\mu\nu}$ w.r.t. world sheet coordinates, and we shall not quote them here (see Ref. 1 for the details)¹. In Eqs. (2) and (3) D and D_1 stand for two renormalization group invariant coefficient functions, parametrizing the gauge-invariant bilocal correlator of gluonic field strength tensors^{3,8–11}, and it is worth noting that since the nonperturbative parts of these functions are related to each other as $|D_1| \simeq \frac{1}{3}D$ according to lattice data⁶, the rigidity term inverse coupling constant (3) is negative, which due to Ref. 13 agrees with the mechanism of confinement, based on the dual Meissner effect¹⁴. This result confirms that the method of vacuum correlators, developed in Refs. 3 and 8–12 (see also Refs. therein), provides us with the consistent description of the confining gluodynamics vacuum. The approach suggested in Ref. 1 enables one to express all the coupling constants of the terms emerging in the string action in higher orders of the curvature expansion through the gauge-invariant correlators of the gluonic field strength tensor only.

Notice also, that in Ref. 15 the action (1) was applied to the derivation of the correction to the Hamiltonian of the QCD string with quarks¹⁶ due to the rigidity term.

However, it should be emphasized that the curvature expansion describes only the pure non-perturbative content of the gluodynamics string theory. As it was argued in Ref. 11, in order to get the exponential growth of the multiplicity of states in the spectrum of the open bosonic string, one must account for the perturbative gluons interacting with the string world sheet, which can be done in the framework of the perturbation theory in the nonperturbative QCD vacuum^{9,10}.

In this Letter, we shall take this interaction into account in the lowest order of perturbation theory and obtain the corresponding correction to the action (1). To this end, one needs to integrate over perturbative fluctuations in the expression for the Wilson loop average written through the non-Abelian Stokes theorem. This procedure, however, looks rather difficult to be

¹From now on, we shall use for the world sheet indices the letters from the middle of the Latin alphabet, $i, j, k, \dots$, in order not to confuse them with the colour indices $a, b, c, \dots$

elaborated out in the case when one makes use of the version of the non-Abelian Stokes theorem, suggested in Refs. 2 and 3, due to the path-ordering, which remains in the expression for the Wilson loop after rewriting it as a surface integral. In order to get rid of it, we find it convenient to exploit in the following another version of the non-Abelian Stokes theorem, which was proposed in Ref. 17, where the path-ordering was replaced by the integration over an auxiliary field from the $SU(N_c)/[U(1)]^{N_c-1}$ coset space. For simplicity, we shall consider the $SU(2)$ -case, when this field is a unit three-vector $\vec{n}$, which characterizes the instant orientation in the colour space, and the non-Abelian Stokes theorem takes a remarkably simple form. Integration over perturbative fluctuations then leads to an interaction of the elements of the string world sheet. This finally yields a correction to the rigidity term, while the string tension of the Nambu-Goto term is not changed keeping its pure nonperturbative value (2). All the points, mentioned above, will be worked out in the next Section.

The main results of the Letter are summarized in the Conclusion.

2. An Action of the Gluodynamics String including Perturbative Gluonic Contributions

The starting point of the effective string theory, we are going to derive, is the Wilson loop average in the $SU(2)$ gluodynamics $\langle W(C) \rangle = \left\langle \text{tr} P \exp \left(ig \oint_C dx_\mu A_\mu^a T^a \right) \right\rangle$. Rewriting it as a surface integral by virtue of the non-Abelian Stokes theorem, suggested in Ref. 17, splitting the total field A_μ^a into a strong nonperturbative background B_μ^a ensuring confinement and perturbative fluctuations a_μ^a , $A_\mu^a = B_\mu^a + a_\mu^a$, with $gB_\mu^a = O(1)$, $ga_\mu^a = O(g)$, and making use of the background field formalism^{9,10,18}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle = N \int DB_\mu^a \eta(B_\alpha^b) Da_\mu^a D\vec{n} \exp \left[\int dx \left[-\frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2} a_\mu^a D_\lambda^{ab} D_\lambda^{bc} a_\mu^c + a_\nu^a D_\mu^{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^b \right] + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{iJ}{2} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} n^a \left[-g (F_{\mu\nu}^a + 2D_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b) + \varepsilon^{abc} \left[(D_\mu \vec{n})^b (D_\nu \vec{n})^c - \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - gn^d (\varepsilon^{bde} a_\mu^e (D_\nu \vec{n})^c + \varepsilon^{cde} a_\nu^e (D_\mu \vec{n})^b) \right] \right] \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu B_\nu^a - \partial_\nu B_\mu^a + g\varepsilon^{abc} B_\mu^b B_\nu^c$ is the strength tensor of the background field, $D_\mu^{ab} = \delta^{ab} \partial_\mu - g\varepsilon^{abc} B_\mu^c$ is the corresponding covariant derivative, $J = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ is the colour “spin” of the representation of the Wilson loop under consideration, i.e. $T^a T^a = J(J+1)$, and the last term on the R.H.S. of Eq. (4) may be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left[-\frac{iJg}{2} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{abc} n^a n^b (\varepsilon^{bde} a_\mu^e (D_\nu \vec{n})^c + \varepsilon^{cde} a_\nu^e (D_\mu \vec{n})^b) \right] = \\ = \exp \left[iJg \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} a_\nu^a \left[n^a n^b (D_\mu \vec{n})^b - (D_\mu \vec{n})^a \right] \right] = \\ = \exp \left[-iJg \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} a_\nu^a (D_\mu \vec{n})^a \right], \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the fact that $\vec{n}^2 = 1$, and $\varepsilon^{bcd}n^b n^c = 0$.

It is worth noting, that the averaging over the fields B_μ^a and a_μ^a in Eq. (4) has been performed separately by making use of the so-called 't Hooft identity¹⁰ valid for an arbitrary functional f

$$\int DA_\mu^a f(A_\alpha^b) = \frac{\int DB_\mu^a \eta(B_\alpha^b) \int Da_\mu^a f(B_\alpha^b + a_\alpha^b)}{\int DB_\mu^a \eta(B_\alpha^b)},$$

which enables one to avoid double counting of fields during the integration. Here an arbitrary weight $\eta(B_\alpha^b)$ should be fixed by the requirement that all the cumulants and the string tension of the Nambu-Goto term acquire their observed values.

Let us discuss the approximations, which have been done during the derivation of Eq. (4). In what follows, we shall be interested only in the effects of the lowest order of perturbation theory, so that on the R.H.S. of Eq. (4) we have omitted the ghost term, the terms describing the interaction of two perturbative gluons with the string world sheet, containing one or three $\vec{n}$ -fields, and the terms which describe the self-interaction of three and four perturbative gluons. Secondly, for simplicity we neglect the interaction of two perturbative gluons with the background field strength tensor (gluon spin interaction)².

Integration over the perturbative fluctuations in Eq. (4) is then Gaussian and yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle = & N \int DB_\mu^a \eta(B_\alpha^b) D\vec{n} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \int dx (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 + \frac{iJ}{2} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{abc} n^a (D_\mu \vec{n})^b (D_\nu \vec{n})^c \right] \cdot \\ & \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{iJg}{2} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} n^a F_{\mu\nu}^a \right) \cdot \\ & \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left[-iJg T_{\mu\nu}(x) D_\mu^{ba} n^a(x) + D_\mu^{ba} (iJg T_{\mu\nu}(x) n^a(x) + F_{\mu\nu}^a(x)) \right] \right] \cdot \\ & \cdot \int_0^\infty ds \int (Dz)_{xy} e^{-\int_0^s \frac{\dot{z}^2}{4} d\lambda} \left[P \exp \left(ig \int_0^s d\lambda \dot{z}_\alpha B_\alpha \right) \right]^{bc} \cdot \\ & \cdot \left[-iJg T_{\rho\nu}(y) D_\rho^{cd} n^d(y) + D_\rho^{cd} (iJg T_{\rho\nu}(y) n^d(y) + F_{\rho\nu}^d(y)) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \int d^2\xi \varepsilon^{ij} (\partial_i x_\mu(\xi)) (\partial_j x_\nu(\xi)) \delta(x - x(\xi))$ is the vorticity tensor current. In the bilocal approximation, we get up to an unimportant additive constant the following correction to the string effective action (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S = & -\frac{J^2 g^2}{2} \int dx dy \left\langle (\partial_\mu T_{\mu\nu}(x)) n^b(x) \int_0^\infty ds \int (Dz)_{xy} e^{-\int_0^s \frac{\dot{z}^2}{4} d\lambda} \cdot \right. \\ & \cdot \left[P \exp \left(ig \int_0^s d\lambda \dot{z}_\alpha B_\alpha \right) \right]^{bc} (\partial_\rho T_{\rho\nu}(y)) n^c(y) \Bigg\rangle_{\vec{n}, B_\mu^a}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

² Within the Feynman-Schwinger proper time representation for the perturbative gluon propagator (see Eq. (5) below), such a term leads to insertions of the colour magnetic moment into the contour of integration.

where

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \dots \rangle_{\vec{n}} &\equiv \int D\vec{n} \, (\dots) \exp \left(\frac{iJ}{2} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{abc} n^a (D_\mu \vec{n})^b (D_\nu \vec{n})^c \right), \\ \langle \dots \rangle_{B_\mu^a} &\equiv \int DB_\mu^a (\dots) \eta(B_\alpha^b) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int dx (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 \right),\end{aligned}$$

and in the derivation of Eq. (6) we have neglected the interaction of the string world sheet with the background sources of the type $D_\alpha^{ab} F_{\alpha\beta}^b(u)$, where u is an arbitrary space-time point outside the world sheet, which should be finally integrated over.

Integrating in Eq. (6) by parts, we arrive at the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta S &= -\frac{J^2 g^2}{2} \int d^2 \xi \int d^2 \xi' \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon^{kl} (\partial_i x_\mu) (\partial_j x_\nu) (\partial_k x'_\rho) (\partial_l x'_\nu) \cdot \\ &\cdot \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu \partial x'_\rho} \left\langle \left\langle n^b(x) n^c(x') \right\rangle_{\vec{n}} \int_0^\infty ds \int (Dz)_{xx'} e^{-\int_0^s \frac{\dot{z}^2}{4} d\lambda} \left[P \exp \left(ig \int_0^s d\lambda \dot{z}_\alpha B_\alpha \right) \right]^{bc} \right\rangle_{B_\mu^a},\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

where $x_\mu \equiv x_\mu(\xi)$, and $x'_\mu \equiv x_\mu(\xi')$.

Since $e^{-\int_0^s \frac{\dot{z}^2}{4} d\lambda} P \exp \left(ig \int_0^s d\lambda \dot{z}_\alpha B_\alpha \right)$ is the statistical weight of a perturbative gluon, propagating from the point x' to the point x along the trajectory z_α during the proper time s , it is the region where s is small, which mainly contributes to the path integral on the R.H.S. of Eq. (7). This means that the dominant contribution to ΔS comes from those x_μ 's and x'_μ 's, which are very close to each other, which is in the line with the curvature expansion, where $|x' - x| \leq T_g \ll r$. Within this approximation, one gets

$$\langle n^b(x) n^c(x') \rangle_{\vec{n}} \simeq \frac{\delta^{bc}}{3} \int D\vec{n} \exp \left(\frac{iJ}{2} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{def} n^d (D_\mu \vec{n})^e (D_\nu \vec{n})^f \right). \quad (8)$$

It is worth noting, that the integral on the R.H.S. of Eq. (8) is a functional of the world sheet as a whole (it is independent of $x_\mu(\xi)$), and therefore may be absorbed into the integration measure.

Hence, as it was announced in the Introduction, we see that expression (7) for the correction to the string effective action (1) due to the perturbative gluons takes the form of the interaction of two elements of the world sheet, $d\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\xi)$ and $d\sigma_{\rho\nu}(\xi')$, via the nonperturbative gluonic string, which emerges from the perturbative gluonic exchanges between these world sheet elements.

For performing the derivatives of the B_μ^a -average in Eq. (7), let us extract explicitly the dependence on the points x and x' from the functional integral. To this end, it is convenient to pass to the integration over the trajectories $u_\mu(\lambda) = z_\mu(\lambda) + \frac{\lambda}{s}(x' - x)_\mu - x'_\mu$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta S &= -\frac{J^2 g^2}{6} \int d^2 \xi \int d^2 \xi' \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon^{kl} (\partial_i x_\mu) (\partial_j x_\nu) (\partial_k x'_\rho) (\partial_l x'_\nu) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu \partial x'_\rho} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4s}} \cdot \\ &\cdot \int (Du)_{00} e^{-\int_0^s \frac{\dot{u}^2}{4} d\lambda} \left\langle \text{tr} P \exp \left[ig \int_0^s d\lambda \left(\frac{x-x'}{s} + \dot{u} \right)_\alpha B_\alpha \left(u + x' + \frac{\lambda}{s}(x-x') \right) \right] \right\rangle_{B_\mu^a}.\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

In the following, we shall be interested only in the contributions emerging from Eq. (9) to the Nambu-Goto and rigidity terms. As it turns out, there exist two origins of such contributions. The first of them arises from taking the derivatives of the factor $e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4s}}$ and replacing the Wilson loop average by $\left\langle \text{tr } P \exp \left(ig \int_0^s d\lambda \dot{u}_\alpha B_\alpha(u) \right) \right\rangle_{B_\mu^a}$. The second one is due to acting with the derivatives upon the Wilson loop average and leads to the correlator of the B_μ^a -fields. For the case of a fastly converging cumulant expansion when $\left(\alpha_s \left\langle F_{\mu\nu}^a(0) F_{\mu\nu}^a(0) \right\rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T_g^2 \ll \left(\frac{T_g}{r} \right)^2$, one can neglect the second contribution w.r.t. the first one. Thus we obtain

$$\Delta S = \frac{J^2 g^2}{12} \int d^2 \xi \int d^2 \xi' \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon^{kl} (\partial_i x_\mu) (\partial_j x_\nu) (\partial_k x'_\rho) (\partial_l x'_\nu) \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \left(\frac{(x-x')_\mu (x-x')_\rho}{2s} - \delta_{\mu\rho} \right) e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4s}} \Phi(s), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Phi(s) \equiv \int (Du)_{00} e^{-\int_0^s \frac{\dot{u}^2}{4} d\lambda} \left\langle \text{tr } P \exp \left(ig \int_0^s d\lambda \dot{u}_\alpha B_\alpha(u) \right) \right\rangle_{B_\mu^a}. \quad (11)$$

Finally, in order to get the desirable correction to the action (1), we shall Taylor expand the R.H.S. of Eq. (10) in powers of $\frac{s}{r^2}$ (according to the discussion in the paragraph before Eq. (8)), keeping in this expansion terms not higher in the derivatives w.r.t. world sheet coordinates than in the rigidity term, which corresponds to the expansion up to the second order in the parameter $\frac{s}{r^2}$. To this end, we shall make use of the Gauss-Weingarten formulae

$$D_i D_j x_\mu = \partial_i \partial_j x_\mu - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k x_\mu = K_{ij}^I N_\mu^I, \quad N_\mu^I N_\mu^{I'} = \delta^{II'}, \quad N_\mu^I \partial_i x_\mu = 0, \quad I, I' = 1, 2,$$

where Γ_{ij}^k is a Christoffel symbol, K_{ij}^I is the second fundamental form of the string world sheet, and $N_\mu^{I'}$ s are the unit normals to the sheet. Omitting the full derivative terms of the form $\int d^2 \xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} R$, where R is a scalar curvature of the world sheet, we get analogously to Ref. 1 the following values of the integrals standing on the R.H.S. of Eq. (10)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^2 \xi \int d^2 \xi' \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon^{kl} (\partial_i x_\mu) (\partial_j x_\nu) (\partial_k x'_\rho) (\partial_l x'_\nu) (x-x')_\mu (x-x')_\rho \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^2} e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4s}} \Phi(s) = \\ & = 4\pi \int_0^\infty ds \Phi(s) \left(4 \int d^2 \xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} - 3s \int d^2 \xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ij} (\partial_i t_{\mu\nu}) (\partial_j t_{\mu\nu}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\int d^2 \xi \int d^2 \xi' \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon^{kl} (\partial_i x_\mu) (\partial_j x_\nu) (\partial_k x'_\mu) (\partial_l x'_\nu) \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4s}} \Phi(s) =$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^\infty ds \Phi(s) \left(4 \int d^2\xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} - s \int d^2\xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ij} (\partial_i t_{\mu\nu}) (\partial_j t_{\mu\nu}) \right). \quad (13)$$

Combining Eqs. (12) and (13) together, we arrive at the following correction to the effective action (1) due to the accounting for the perturbative gluons in the lowest order of perturbation theory

$$\Delta S = \left(\Delta \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \right) \int d^2\xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ij} (\partial_i t_{\mu\nu}) (\partial_j t_{\mu\nu}), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\left(\Delta \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \right) = -\frac{\pi}{3} J^2 g^2 \int_0^\infty ds s \Phi(s). \quad (15)$$

Notice, that as it was already pointed out in the Introduction, perturbative gluons do not change the value of the string tension (2) of the Nambu-Goto term and affect only the coupling constant of the rigidity term. The nontrivial content of correction (15) to the nonperturbative rigidity coupling constant (3) emerges due to the path integral defined by Eq. (11), which includes the background Wilson loop average. Since this correction is a pure perturbative effect, its sign, which depends on the background entering Eq. (11), is unimportant for the explanation of confinement in terms of the dual Meissner effect (see discussion in the Introduction).

3. Conclusion

In this Letter, we have applied perturbation theory in the nonperturbative QCD vacuum^{9,10} and the non-Abelian Stokes theorem, which represents a Wilson loop in the $SU(2)$ gluodynamics as an integral over all the orientations in colour space¹⁷ to the derivation of the correction to the string effective action (1), found in Ref. 1. The resulting perturbative gluonic correction is given by formulae (11), (14) and (15) and affects only the rigidity term, while the string tension of the Nambu-Goto term keeps its pure nonperturbative value (2). The perturbative contribution to the inverse coupling constant of the rigidity term contains the dependence on the background fields in the form of the background Wilson loop average standing under a certain path integral (11).

We have also demonstrated that perturbative fluctuations, when being taken into account, lead to the interaction defined by the R.H.S. of Eq. (7) between elements of the string world sheet by virtue of nonperturbative gluonic strings, which agrees with the qualitative scenario of the excitation of the gluodynamics string by the perturbative gluons, suggested in Refs. 1 and 11.

However, it still remains unclear whether perturbative gluons may yield a cancellation of the conformal anomaly in $D = 4$ rather than in $D = 26$, as it takes place for the ordinary bosonic string theory^{19,20}, and the solution of the problem of crumpling for the rigidity term^{20,21}. There also exist some other obstacles with the rigidity term, such as the absence of the lowest-energy state in this theory and the wrong high-temperature behaviour of the free energy per unit length of the string. These difficulties are absent in the recently proposed nonlocal theory of a string with the negative stiffness²². At the moment, we do not know how this theory could be obtained in the framework of our approach. The problems mentioned above will be the topics of the next publications.

4. Acknowledgments

We are deeply grateful to H.Dorn, H.Kleinert, Yu.M.Makeenko, M.Mueller-Preussker, Chr.Preitschopf, Chr.Schubert, and Yu.A.Simonov for a lot of useful discussions on the problem studied in this paper. One of us (D.A.) would also like to thank the theory group of the Quantum Field Theory Department of the Institut für Physik of the Humboldt-Universität of Berlin for kind hospitality.

References

1. D.V.Antonov, D.Ebert and Yu.A.Simonov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A11**, 1905 (1996) (preprint DESY 96-134).
2. M.B.Halpern, *Phys.Rev.* **D19**, 517 (1979); I.Ya.Aref'eva, *Theor.Math.Phys.* **43**, 111 (1980); N.Bralić, *Phys.Rev.* **D22**, 3090 (1980).
3. Yu.A.Simonov, *Yad.Fiz.* **50**, 213 (1989).
4. N.G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (North-Holland Physics Publishing, 1984).
5. M.Campostrini, A. Di Giacomo and G.Mussardo, *Z.Phys.* **C25**, 173 (1984).
6. A. Di Giacomo and H.Panagopoulos, *Phys.Lett.* **B285**, 133 (1992).
7. I.-J.Ford et al., *Phys.Lett.* **B208**, 286 (1988); E.Laermann et al., *Nucl.Phys.* **B26** (Proc.Suppl.), 268 (1992).
8. H.G.Dosch, *Phys.Lett.* **B190**, 177 (1987); Yu.A.Simonov, *Nucl.Phys.* **B307**, 512 (1988); H.G.Dosch and Yu.A.Simonov, *Phys.Lett.* **B205**, 339 (1988), *Z.Phys.* **C45**, 147 (1989); Yu.A.Simonov, *Nucl.Phys.* **B324**, 67 (1989), *Phys.Lett.* **B226**, 151 (1989), *Phys.Lett.* **B228**, 413 (1989), *Yad.Fiz.* **54**, 192 (1991); H.G.Dosch, A. Di Giacomo and Yu.A.Simonov, in preparation.
9. Yu.A.Simonov, *Yad.Fiz.* **58**, 113, 357 (1995), preprint ITEP 37-95; D.V.Antonov, *Phys.Atom.Nucl.* **60**, 299 (1997) (*hep-th/9605044*).
10. E.L.Gubankova and Yu.A.Simonov, *Phys.Lett.* **B360**, 93 (1995); Yu.A.Simonov, in *Lecture Notes in Physics*, v. 479 (Springer Verlag, 1997); A.M.Badalian and Yu.A.Simonov, *Yad.Fiz.* **60**, 714 (1997).
11. Yu.A.Simonov, *Nuovo Cim.* **A107**, 2629 (1994).
12. D.V.Antonov and Yu.A.Simonov, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A11**, 4401 (1996); D.V.Antonov, *JETP Lett.* **63**, 398 (1996), *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A11**, 3113 (1996) (*hep-th/9612005*), *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A12**, 2047 (1997), *Phys.Atom.Nucl.* **60**, 478 (1997) (*hep-th/9605045*).
13. P.Orland, *Nucl.Phys.* **B428**, 221 (1994).
14. S.Mandelstam, *Phys.Lett.* **B53**, 476 (1975); G.'t Hooft, in *High Energy Physics*, Ed. A.Zichichi (Editrice Compositori, 1976).
15. D.V.Antonov, *JETP Lett.* **65**, 701 (1997) (*hep-th/9612109*).
16. A.Yu.Dubin, A.B.Kaidalov and Yu.A.Simonov, *Yad.Fiz.* **56**, 213 (1993), *Phys.Lett.* **B323**, 41 (1994); E.L.Gubankova and A.Yu.Dubin, *Phys.Lett.* **B334**, 180 (1994), preprint ITEP 62-94.
17. D.I.Diakonov and V.Yu.Petrov, in *Nonperturbative Approaches to QCD*, Proceedings of the International Workshop at ECT*, Trento, July 10-29, 1995, Ed. D.I.Diakonov (PNPI, 1995), *hep-th/9606104*.
18. B.S. De Witt, *Phys.Rev.* **162**, 1195, 1239 (1967); J.Honerkamp, *Nucl.Phys.* **B48**, 269 (1972); G.'t Hooft, *Nucl.Phys.* **62**, 444 (1973); L.F. Abbot, *Nucl.Phys.* **B185**, 189 (1981).
19. A.M.Polyakov, *Phys.Lett.* **B103**, 207 (1981).
20. A.M.Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings* (Harwood Academic Publishers, 1987).

- 21. A.M.Polyakov, *Nucl.Phys.* **B268**, 406 (1986).
- 22. H.Kleinert and A.M.Chervyakov, *hep-th/9601030* (in press in *Phys.Lett.* **B**).